

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/522,074	07/08/2005	Darrell Sleep	P30,358USA	7594
		7590 01/22/200 OT & LECHNER, LLP		EXAMINER	
2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950		RK TOWER		GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1654	
_					· · · · · ·
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	31 D	AYS	01/22/2007	PAP	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Satyanarayana R. Gudibande	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-56 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p		-(d) or (f).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) △ All b) △ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application	on No			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been receive	on No			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) △ All b) △ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of Attachment(s)	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not received	on No d in this National Stage d.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) △ All b) △ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage d. (PTO-413) te			

Application/Control Number: 10/522,074

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11 and 20-25, are drawn to a polypeptide comprising (i) a leader sequence, the leader sequence comprising (a) a secretion pre sequence, and (b) the following motif: -X1-X2-X3-X4-X5- where X1 is phenylalanine, tryptophan, or tyrosine, X2 is isoleucine, leucine, valine, alanine or methionine, X3 is leucine, valine, alanine or methionine, X4 is serine or threonine and X5 is isoleucine, valine, alanine or methionine; and (ii) a desired protein heterologous to the leader sequence.

Group II, claim(s) 12-19, are drawn to a polypeptide according to Claim 1 wherein the leader sequence comprises a secretion pro sequence.

Group III, claim(s) 26-41, 53 and 54 are drawn to an isolated polynucleotide comprising a sequence that encodes the motif defined by Claim 1.

Group IV, claim(s) 42-49, drawn to a cell comprising a polynucleotide.

Group V, claim(s) 50-52, 55 and 56, drawn to a process for producing a mature desired protein, comprising (1) culturing a cell according to Claim 42 in a culture medium wherein the cell, as a result of the production of a polypeptide as defined in Claim 1 secretes a mature desired protein into the culture medium, and (2) separating the culture medium, containing the secreted mature protein, from the cell.

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or

corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of Group I is motif -X1-X2-X3-X4-X5- where X1 is phenylalanine, tryptophan, or tyrosine, X2 is isoleucine, leucine, valine, alanine or methionine, X3 is leucine, valine, alanine or methionine, X4 is serine or threonine and X5 is isoleucine, valine, alanine or methionine, the sequence –Phe-Leu-Ala-Thr-Ala- which is shown by Ogata, et al., Science, 2001, 293, 2093-2098 as evidenced by the STN search result and does not make a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as groups I-V lack unity of invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1654

103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions restricted are patentably distinct. The search for each of the inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Burden consists not only of specific searching of classes and subclasses, but also of searching multiple databases for foreign references and literature searches. Burden also resides in the examination of independent claim sets for clarity, enablement, and double patenting issues. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application and the restriction for examination purposes as indicated above is deemed proper.

Art Unit: 1654

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: polypeptides. The species are independent or distinct because the polypeptides are distinct chemical compounds that differ from one another depending on the different amino acid residues that constitute the polypeptide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species polypeptide along with a SEQ ID NO., associated with the elected species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-25 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: polynucleotide. The species are independent or distinct because the polypeptides are distinct chemical compounds that differ from one another depending on the different nucleotide residues that constitute the polynucleotide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species polynucleotide along with a SEQ ID NO., associated with the elected species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 26-41 are generic.

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: cell.

The species are independent or distinct because the cells from different species are distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a **single disclosed species of cell,** for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 42 and 48 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/522,074

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satyanarayana R. Gudibande whose telephone number is 571-272-8146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satyanarayana R. Gudibande, Ph.D. Art Unit 1654

Page 7

ANISH GUPITA PRIMARY EXAMINER